Case 5:17-cv-03313-EJD Document 1 Filed 06/02/17 Page 1 of 20 REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED MCGUIREWOODS LLP MARC A. LACKNER (SBN 111753) JAMIE D. WELLS (SBN 290827) Two Embarcadero Center Suite 1300 San Francisco, CA 94111-3821 Telephone: 415.844.9944 Facsimile: 415.844.9922 Email: mlackner@mcguirewoods.com Email: jwells@mcguirewoods.com Attorneys for TIBCO Software Inc. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 1.7 NO. 3313 HRL CV TIBCO SOFTWARE INC. **COMPLAINT FOR:** Plaintiff, 1. BREACH OF CONTRACT VS. (OVER-DEPLOYMENT) GAIN CAPITAL GROUP, LLC; and Does 1 BREACH OF THE IMPLIED to 10 inclusive, COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING Defendants. BREACH OF CONTRACT (NOVEMBER 2016 ORDER FORM) **COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

6.

Plaintiff TIBCO Software Inc. ("TIBCO"), by and through its attorneys, brings this		
Complaint against Defendant GAIN Capital Group, LLC ("GAIN") and Does 1-10 (collectively		
"Defendants") and hereby alleges as follows:		
NATURE OF THE CASE		
1. TIBCO brings this action seeking damages against GAIN for GAIN's breach of		
various agreements between the parties		
,		
and GAIN's copyright infringement of said TIBCO software.		
<u>PARTIES</u>		
2. At all times relevant hereto, TIBCO is a corporation, incorporated in Delaware and		
headquartered in California. TIBCO is the leading independent provider of software creating		
integration analytics and events processing software for companies around the world. TIBCO is		
headquartered in Palo Alto, California.		
3. At all times relevant hereto, GAIN is a limited liability company registered and		
headquartered in New Jersey. GAIN provides over 140,000 retail and institutional investors with		
access to foreign exchange markets. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, no		
member of GAIN resided in California.		
4. TIBCO is without sufficient information to specifically identify those persons		
named fictitiously herein as Does 1-10. TIBCO reserves the right to amend this Complaint to		
specifically identify those parties once their identities become known.		
JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
5. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28		
U.S.C. § 1338 as it involves questions and issues under the United States Copyright Act. This		
Court also has jurisdiction to hear this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as the matter in		
controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000 and is between citizens of different states.		

California, by which GAIN intentionally availed itself to the protections afforded under California

This Court has personal jurisdiction over GAIN arising from its contacts with

Case 5. FP-CV-033Y5-EJON OF DOCUMENT PRICE 17.09/15 SEALED 3 of 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

law, including but not limited to its entering into the contracts at issue here, with a California resident, executed in California, all of which were subject to California law, and to be performed, at least in part in California, as alleged below. 7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) because TIBCO resides here, because GAIN receives a substantial amount of services and software from TIBCO in this District, because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, and because TIBCO was harmed in this District. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS The November 2008 Order Form and Terms and Conditions: 8. On November 26, 2008, TIBCO and GAIN (collectively known as the "Parties") entered into an agreement, which included the Software License Terms and Conditions (the "November 2008 Terms and Conditions") and the Software License and Services Order Form (the "November 2008 Order Form"). Both Parties executed the agreement on or about November 25, 2008. A true and correct copy of the November 2008 Order Form and the November 2008 Terms and Conditions are attached hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit A**. 9. Under the terms of the November 2008 Order Form, GAIN licensed 10. Paragraph of the November 2008 Terms and Conditions provides that 11. 12. Paragraph of the November 2008 Terms and Conditions provides that

Case 5. FP-CV-03315-EJDN OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT/19/17 SFALED 4 of 20

1	
2	
3	
ļ	
5	
5 7	13. Paragraph of the November 2008 Terms and Conditions provides that
3	
9	14. Paragraph of the November 2008 Terms and Conditions provides that
1	
2	15. Paragraph of the November 2008 Terms and Conditions provides that
3 4	
5	The December 2010 Order Form:
6	16. On or about December 31, 2010, the Parties entered into an agreement whereby
7	GAIN licensed
8	(the "December 2010 Order Form"). Both Parties executed the agreement
9	
0	attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit B .
	17. ,
1	,
2	
3	
4	·
5	18.
6	
7	
8	
	4 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Case 5. FP-20-03315 PSIDN OF DOCUMENT PREG HT/102/17 SFALED 5 of 20

1	, on or around December 30, 2012, GAIN
2	provided TIBCO with its End of Term Deployment Report,
3	
4	
5	The Amended Software License Terms and Conditions:
6	20. On November 15, 2012, the Parties executed an Amended Software License Terms
7	and Conditions (the "Amendment"),
8	. A true and correct copy of
9	the Amendment is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit C.
10	The Audit:
11	21. On May 18, 2016,
12	, TIBCO notified GAIN of its intent to audit GAIN's compliance and initiated the audit
13	procedure.
14	22. In or around May 2016, TIBCO retained KPMG, LLP ("KPMG"), as an
15	independent auditor to conduct an on-site audit of GAIN's deployment and use of TIBCO
16	software,
17	23. From in or around May 2016 until in or around August 2016, GAIN delayed the
18	audit by refusing to comply with audit procedures, including failing to provide a list of available
19	dates for the audit and failing to produce documentation evidencing the TIBCO software GAIN
20	deployed.
21	24. On August 23, 2016 and August 24, 2016, KPMG conducted an on-site audit of
22	GAIN. As a result of the audit, KPMG produced a final deployment report which indicated that
23	GAIN had substantially over-deployed TIBCO software.
24	The Bill of Materials:
25	25. Following the audit, on October 20, 2016, TIBCO sent GAIN written
26	correspondence, which set forth the numerous TIBCO products that KPMG found GAIN to have
27	over-deployed without license. Specifically, TIBCO advised GAIN that it had over-deployed
28	TIBCO software

Case 5. FP-CV-03315-EIDN OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT/19/17 SFALED 6 of 20

1		
2	2	
3	3	
1	4	
5	. As such, TIBCO requested that GAIN place	e its true-up order within 10
5	business days of October 20, 2016.	
,	26. On that day, TIBCO also sent GAIN a Bill of Materia	ls,
;		
	A true and correct	copy of the October 20, 2016
		tio and incorporated herein as
	Exhibit D.	
	27. GAIN did not pay the Bill of Materials.	
	The November 2016 Order Form:	
	28. On or about November 15, 2016, the Parties execute	d an order form
		(the "November
	2016 Order Form"). A true and correct copy of the November 2016	Order Form is attached hereto
	and incorporated herein as Exhibit E .	
	29.	
	//	
	//	

1	GAIN's Failure to Pay on the November 2016 Order Form:
2	30.
3	
4	
5	
6	True and correct copies of Invoice 1 and Invoice 2 are attached hereto and incorporated herein as
7	Exhibit F and Exhibit G.
8	31.
9	
10	32. GAIN failed to pay TIBCO
11	·
12	33. Due to GAIN's failure to submit the outstanding balance to TIBCO, TIBCO sent
13	GAIN a demand letter on February 6, 2017 (the "February 6, 2017 Demand Letter")
14	. A true and correct copy of the February 6,
15	2017 Demand Letter is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit H .
16	34. Due to GAIN's continued failure to submit the outstanding balance to TIBCO and
17	failure to respond to the February 6, 2017 Demand Letter, TIBCO sent GAIN a further demand
18	letter on February 27, 2017 (the "February 27, 2017 Demand Letter")
19	. A true and correct copy of the February 27, 2017 Demand Letter
20	is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit I .
21	35. To date, GAIN has failed to pay any of the amounts due
22	·
23	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
24	BREACH OF CONTRACT (OVER-DEPLOYMENT)
25	(As to all Defendants)
26	36. TIBCO refers to and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 35.
27	37. As recited above, beginning in November 2008,
28	

1	
2	38.
3	
4	39. In August 2016, KPMG conducted an on-site audit of GAIN. As a result of the
5	audit, KPMG confirmed that GAIN had over-deployed TIBCO software
6	
7	
8	·
9	40. GAIN's , caused dama
0	to TIBCO in an amount to be proven at trial, but not less than
.1	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT
.3	OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING
4	(As to all Defendants)
5	41. TIBCO refers to and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 40.
16	42. Under California law, there is an implied covenant that neither party will take ar
7	action that would deliberately deprive the other of the benefits of the agreement, and a duty to
8	exercise its discretion under a contract in good faith and in accordance with fair dealing.
9	43. As recited above, beginning in November 2008,
20	
21	
22	44. In August 2016, KPMG conducted an on-site audit of GAIN. As a result of the
23	audit, KPMG confirmed that GAIN had over-deployed TIBCO software
4	
25	
26	·
27	45. GAIN's over-deployment caused dama
28	to TIBCO in an amount to be proven at trial, but not less than
	8

Case 5. F7-cv-03315-EJDN OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT, 79/BF SEALED 9 of 20

1	46.	By continuing to deploy and use TIBCO software without a license, and by failing
2	to pay TIBCC	o for GAIN's over-deployment, GAIN has deliberately deprived TIBCO
3		and has failed to act in good faith and in accordance with fair
4	dealing.	
5		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
6		BREACH OF CONTRACT (NOVEMBER 2016 ORDER FORM)
7		(As to all Defendants)
8	47.	TIBCO refers to and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 46.
9	48.	In November 2016, the Parties entered into the November 2016 Order Form,
10		
11		. See Exhibit E.
12	49.	
13		
14		·
15	50.	·
16	51.	On November 22, 2016, TIBCO issued Invoice 1 in the amount of
17	on November	30, 2016, TIBCO issued Invoice 2 in the amount of to GAIN
18		
19	52.	
20		·
21	53.	To date, GAIN has not paid TIBCO despite repeated demands
22	from TIBCO,	, causing TIBCO damage thereby.
23		FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
24		COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 101 et. seq.
25		(As to all Defendants)
26	54.	TIBCO refers to and incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 53.
27	55.	
28		("the Copyrighted Works") have all been duly registered with the United States
		9 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
	I	I LAINTIFF & COMFLAINT

1	Copyright Off	fice.
2	56.	At least one version of each of
3		was registered with the United States Copyright
4	Office at least	two years before the 2016 audit.
5	57.	
6		
7	58.	, GAIN was
8	not authorized	to make any copies of the Copyrighted Works, use or deploy those works, put
9	copies of thos	e works on any computers or servers , create derivatives of
10	those works, o	or otherwise distribute those works.
11	59.	, GAIN did indeed, without
12	authorization,	make copies of the Copyrighted Works, use and deploy those works, put copies of
13	those works o	n computers or servers , create derivatives of those works,
14	and/or otherw	ise distribute those works.
15	60.	GAIN's infringement of the Copyrighted Works was willful.
16	61.	TIBCO has been actually harmed by GAIN's infringement, including without
17	limitation thro	ough
18		
19	62.	Upon information and belief, GAIN has obtained profits attributable to its
20	infringement of	of the Copyrighted Works.
21	63.	Absent an injunction, TIBCO will continue to be harmed by GAIN's infringement,
22	and the monet	ary damages available are insufficient to fully rectify the harm at issue.
23		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
24	WHEREFOR	E, TIBCO prays for judgment as to each of its four claims for relief against GAIN
25	as follows:	
26	1.	For damages according to proof;
27		
28		
	Ī	

Casq**ediacyed33/ereio**n opdocumentil**so 06/02/17**) bespealed 20

1	2.	For all damages available under the Copyright Act, including, at TIBCO's
2	election, eithe	r actual damages and disgorgement of profits, or the maximum statutory damages
3	allowed;	
4	3.	For a permanent injunction, stopping GAIN and its employees, officers, parents,
5	subsidiaries, a	and agents from using the Copyrighted Works;
6	4.	For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the legal rate;
7	5.	For reasonable attorney's fees, and other costs of suit herein incurred; and
8	6.	For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
9		
10	DATED: June	e 1, 2017 MCGUIREWOODS LLP
11		
12		By: /s/ Jamie D. Wells
13		Jamie D. Wells Attorneys for Plaintiff
14		TIBCO Software Inc.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	

EXHIBIT A Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT B Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT C Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT D Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT E Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT F Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT G Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT H Exhibit Redacted In Full

EXHIBIT I Exhibit Redacted In Full